

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virgmia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/914,442	09/26/2001	· Ali Harlin	110486	3988
75	90 02/27/2004		EXAMINER	
Oliff & Berrid	ge		EASHOO	, MARK
PO Box 19928 Alexandria, VA			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Alexandria, V	A 22320		1732	
			DATE MAILED: 02/27/200	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			<u></u>
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
),	09/914,442	HARLIN ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Mark Eashoo, Ph.D.	1732	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	opears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence addre	ess
eriod for Reply		ONITH (O) EDOM	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statt Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I: 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a leading of the statutory minimum of thir d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON the cause the application to become Al	eply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this command the command of the c	nunication.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26	September 2001.		
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ Th	nis action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	ance except for formal mat	ters, prosecution as to the m	nerits is
closed in accordance with the practice under	r <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.[). 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are pending in the application	on.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdown			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3 and 5-112</u> is/are rejected.			
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>4</u> is/are objected to.	•		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami	iner.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a	ccepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to t	he drawing(s) be held in abeya	ince. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr	ection is required if the drawing	g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFF	₹ 1.121(d).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached	d Office Action or form PTC)-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	ign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:			
1. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority docume			
Copies of the certified copies of the p		n received in this National S	Stage
application from the International Bur			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certified copies no	t received.	
Attachment(s)	1) Intention	Summary (PTO-413)	
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 	Paper No	o(s)/Mail Date	450)
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>28-08-01</u> .	/08) 5) Notice of 6) Other:	Informal Patent Application (PTO- 	152)

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statement filed 28-AUG-2001 complies with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97, 1.98 and MPEP § 609.

Accordingly, it has been placed in the application file and the information referred to therein has been considered as to the merits.

Claim Objections

Claims 10-12 are objected to because of the following informalities:

Claim 10 contains a typo "dibutyltin dilaurate", which has been interpreted as -- dibutyl tin dilaurate --.

Claims II and I2 are objected to because they do not set forth and additional process step, but rather recite a statement of intended use. For the purpose of further examination, claim II has been interpreted as a step of forming the material onto a wire/cable as a coating by extrusion. Similarly, claim I2 has been interpreted as a step of forming the material into a hollow extrudate.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

Claims 1, 3, and 5-11 are rejected under 35 USC 102(b) as being anticipated by Hagger et al. (GB 2 202 537 A).

Application/Control Number: 09/914,442

Art Unit: 1732

Regarding claim 1: Hagger et al. teaches the claimed process of forming a polymer product cross-linked by silane (abstract) and controlling the cross-linking or grafting is controlled by the steps of: determining the concentrations of components affecting grafting and based upon the results adjusting the amounts of materials fed to an extruder (2:1-3:24).

Regarding claim 3: Hagger et al. teaches determining the cross-linking degree (3:25-4:7).

Regarding claim 5: Hagger et al. teaches polyethylene (abstract).

Regarding claims 6 and 7: Hagger et al. teaches vinyl trimethoxy silane (example 1).

Regarding claims 8 and 9: Hagger et al. teaches dicumyl peroxide (example 1).

Regarding claim10: Hagger et al. teaches dibutyl tin dilaurate (example 1).

Regarding claim!: Hagger et al. teaches a wire-covering crosshead or die (6:5) therefore, Hagger et al. anticipates wire coating.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Claims 2 is rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hagger et al. (GB 2 202 537 A) in view of with Wang et al. (US Pat. 6.107.405) when taken with Froidevaux et al. (US Pat. 5.714.187).

Regarding claim 2: Hagger et al. teaches the basic claimed process as set forth above.

Hagger et al. does not teach determining the degree of cross-linking by IR spectrometry. However, Wang et al. teaches determining the degree of cross-linking by IR spectrometry (6:27-50). Hagger et al. and Wang et al. are combinable because they are both concerned with a similar technical difficulty, namely, determining the degree of cross-linking by IR spectrometry. At the time of invention a person of ordinary skill in the art would have found it obvious to have determined the degree of cross-linking by IR spectrometry, as taught by Wang et al., in the process of Hagger et al., and would have been motivated to do so in order to control the quality of the formed product. The motivation for such combination is suggested by Froidevaux et al. which teaches use of an on-line infrared process control system that varies the feed composition to an extruded product (18:40-49 and 16:8-18).

Claims 12 is rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hagger et al. (GB 2 202 537 A) in view of Takamasa et al. (EP 0 771 827 A2).

Regarding claim 12: Hagger et al. teaches the basic claimed process as set forth above.

Hagger et al. does not teach forming pipes. However, Takamasa et al. teaches hot water conduits or pipes (2:11). Hagger et al. and Takamasa et al. are combinable because they are from the same field of endeavor, namely, silane-modified polyolefins. At the time of invention a person of ordinary skill in the art would have found it obvious to have made hot water conduits or pipes, as taught by Takamasa et al., in the process of Hagger et al., and would have been motivated to do so in order to produce a commercially desired product (ie. a hot water conduit).

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Fritz et al. and Ortiz et al. teach the basic state of the art.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 4 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

The prior art of record does not teach or render obvious determining the degree of cross-linking using a thermomechanical analyzer (TMA), wherein the TMA is directly measuring the extruder product "on-line" or while it is moving in the production line. It is understood that by applicant's disclosure that the "on line method" is not inclusive of the well known process/quality control regimen of taking a small sample from the product line, testing in a lab, and then readjusting the process parameters.

Art Unit: 1732

Correspondence

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark Eashoo, Ph.D. whose telephone number is (571) 272-1197. The examiner can normally be reached on 7am-3pm EST, Monday - Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Colaianni can be reached on (571) 272-1196. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Mark Eashoo, Ph.D. Primary Examiner Art Unit 1732

2/21/04 me

421/04